
PRELIMINARY PRINT

VOLUME 596 U. S. PART 1

PAGE 242

OFFICIAL REPORTS

OF

THE SUPREME COURT

APRIL 28, 2022

Page Proof Pending Publication

REBECCA A. WOMELDORF

REPORTER OF DECISIONS



NOTICE: This preliminary print is subject to formal revision before the bound volume is published. Users are requested to notify the Reporter of Decisions, Supreme Court of the United States, Washington, D.C. 20543, pio@supremecourt.gov, of any typographical or other formal errors.

Per Curiam

LEDURE *v.* UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CO.

CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR
THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT

No. 20–807. Argued March 28, 2022—Decided April 28, 2022

962 F. 3d 907, affirmed by an equally divided Court.

David C. Frederick argued the cause for petitioner. With him on the briefs were *Bradley E. Oppenheimer*, *Nelson G. Wolff*, and *Jerome J. Schlichter*.

Colleen E. Roh Sinz dak argued the cause for the United States as *amicus curiae* supporting petitioner. With her on the brief were *Solicitor General Prelogar*, *Acting Assistant Attorney General Boynton*, *Deputy Solicitor General Kneedler*, *Daniel Tenny*, *Joshua M. Koppel*, *Paul M. Geier*, *Paula Lee*, and *Rebecca S. Behraves h*.

J. Scott Ballenger argued the cause for respondent. With him on the brief were *Tyce R. Walters*, *J. Timothy Eaton*, and *Jonathan B. Amarilio*.*

PER CURIAM.

The judgment is affirmed by an equally divided Court.

JUSTICE BARRETT took no part in the consideration or decision of this case.

**Lawrence M. Mann*, *Kevin Brodar*, and *Joseph M. Sayler* filed a brief for the Sheet Metal, Air, Rail, and Transportation Workers-Transportation Division et al. as *amici curiae* urging reversal.

Briefs of *amici curiae* urging affirmance were filed for the Association of American Railroads by *Daniel Sapphire* and *Joseph G. St. Peter*; and for the Chamber of Commerce of the United States of America et al. by *Carter G. Phillips*, *Tobias S. Loss-Eaton*, and *Andrew R. Varcoe*.

REPORTER'S NOTE

The attached opinion has been revised to reflect the usual publication and citation style of the United States Reports. The revised pagination makes available the official United States Reports citation in advance of publication. The syllabus has been prepared by the Reporter of Decisions for the convenience of the reader and constitutes no part of the opinion of the Court. A list of counsel who argued or filed briefs in this case, and who were members of the bar of this Court at the time this case was argued, has been inserted following the syllabus. Other revisions may include adjustments to formatting, captions, citation form, and any errant punctuation. The following additional edits were made:

None
